The Situation of Human Rights Defenders in Venezuela

In their last UPR in October 2011, Venezuela received seven recommendations regarding human rights defenders (HRDs) and fourteen recommendations regarding freedom of expression and opinion, freedom of assembly and freedom of the press. Despite the role of civil society in shaping the 1999 Constitution and its recognition of the importance of human rights, the situation for the defence of human rights has worsened, with numerous laws restricting independent NGOs, high level stigmatisation campaigns against human right defenders and the repression of social protest.

This paper was co-authored by the international NGOs International Service for Human Rights and Front Line Defenders, together with Civilis Derechos Humanos and the Human Rights Centre of the Universidad Católica Andrés Bello, in Venezuela.

1. Risks facing human rights defenders
   - Numerous laws have closed civil society space while constant defamation suits, arbitrary regulation of media outlets, and excessive and unlawful use of force have constrained freedom of the press, freedom of assembly and freedom of expression.
   - The government has directed a stigmatisation campaign against HRDs whilst also curtailing access to justice through impunity, a lack of judicial independence, and withdrawing from the Inter-American Court of Human Rights.
   - Labour leaders, demonstrators, journalists, indigenous leaders and HRDs working on economic, social and cultural rights face heightened risk, as well as human rights defenders denouncing human rights violations perpetrated by police or military forces and other government agents.
   - HRDs resorting to international mechanisms have been subjected to reprisals, and systematically harassed and persecuted by public officials and State-run media outlets.
   - Arbitrary detention has been used to hamper the work of defenders. In 2014, well-known defenders including Marcelo Crovato, Eliecer Jiménez, Rodrigo Diamanti, Rosmit Mantilla and members of Venezuela Diversa were all arbitrarily detained.
   - 2015 was a particularly dangerous year for HRDs in Venezuela, as shown by the granting by the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, within 12 months, of four precautionary measures for the protection of nine HRDs and their organizations.

2. Official restrictions on the space for human rights defenders
   - Several human rights organisations have been threatened with sanctions under the 2012 Law against Organised Crime and Financing Terrorism (Ley contra la Delincuencia Organizada y Financiamiento al Terrorismo) which contains vague terms defining crimes such as terrorism and allows for excessive governmental access and control over the finances of NGOs.
   - The 2014 Law for Registering and Enlisting for the Integral Defence of the Nation (Ley de Registro y Alistamiento para la Defensa Integral de la Nación) contains provisions which could allow for military oversight of civil society organisations.
   - The Law for the Defence of the Political Sovereignty and National Self-determination (Ley de Defensa de la Soberanía Política y Autodeterminación Nacional) prohibits organisations from receiving international funding and in 2013 the government created a special commission to investigate financing of organisations and
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individuals that ‘aim to generate social commotion and coup plans against the government’. In the context of consistent governmental stigmatisation, this law has served to further criminalise the work of NGOs and HRDs.7

- The 2002 National Security Law (Ley de Seguridad de la Nación) criminalises any activity ‘which disturbs or affects the organisation and functioning of facilities of the economic and social life of the country’ in ‘Security Zones’. This has been widely abused to unduly restrict freedom of assembly, with 30% of Venezuela considered as a ‘Security Zone’.8

- There has been a constant attempt to pass the Bill on International Cooperation (Proyecto de Ley de Cooperación Internacional), that could unreasonably limit the possibility of NGOs to access funds from international sources. In order to receive such funds, NGOs would have to meet ‘guidelines and policies to be established by the President’ in international cooperation. In addition, the bill is ambiguous and creates a clear risk of arbitrariness and discretion by public authorities.9

- Resolution 008610 permits the armed forces to use lethal firearms during protests.10 During the 2014 protests, 43 people died, 878 were injured and more than 3,000 jailed.11

- The Supreme Court of Justice has limited the participation of civil society organisations in public affairs, upholding the government’s decision to restrict NGO’s financing, members’ national origin, type of organisation, and regulations.12

- A range of laws, decrees and Supreme Court jurisprudence were abused to justify the arbitrary detentions of protesters throughout 2014 and criminalise the rights to freedom of opinion and peaceful assembly.13

3. **Intimidation and reprisals against defenders accessing international human rights mechanisms**

- A group of United Nations and Inter-American human rights experts openly deplored Venezuela for stigmatising HRDs who submitted reports and gave testimony to the UN and regional human rights bodies.14

- Both national and international NGOs, including ISHR, were subject to defamatory statements by the President of the National Assembly on television.15

- In March 2015, a number of human rights defenders reported being intimidated and followed upon returning to Venezuela after attending sessions at the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights.16

- Judge Maria Lourdes Afiuni was arrested after ordering the conditional release of an individual whose detention the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention considered to be arbitrary. During her three year detention, she was reportedly assaulted, intimidated and raped by a government agent.17

4. **Human rights defenders facing particular risks**

- At least 30 trade union leaders have been killed between 2009 and 2010.18 Since 2005, approximately 150 workers and union leaders have been subjected to criminal proceedings for exercising their right to strike.19

- It has become increasingly dangerous to use public protest to demand rights in Venezuela. Demonstrators are criminalised, surveilled and harassed with the widespread use of state-informants, ‘Cooperating Patriots,’ and militia groups affiliated to the ruling party.20 During the 2014 protests, security forces allowed, and openly collaborated with, armed pro-government gangs to attack protesters.21

- The extensive monitoring of media content by the National Telecommunications Commission and broad defamation laws place journalists at risk of serving jail time.22 Additionally, during the 2014 protests, security forces targeted individuals who had been taking photographs or filming protests.23

- The high number of security requests to Front Line Defenders from Venezuelan human rights defenders or organizations working on gender and sexuality and indigenous issues, suggest they face heightened risks.24 In some cases of LGBT defenders, the risks have led to the need of HRDs to leave the country.

- Indigenous rights defenders fighting for recognition of their ancestral lands and rights face heightened risk, a situation that is particularly under-reported in the country. The situation has led to the assassination of the indigenous leader Sabino Romero in 201325 while other indigenous leaders face several threats.26

- Various human rights defenders from the organization Observatorio Venezolano de Prisiones have been targeted on several occasions27 culminating in 2016 with the killing of human rights lawyer Mr. Héctor Sánchez Losada who worked alongside OVP members.28
5. **The response of the State regarding the protection of human rights defenders**

- After having denounced the American Convention on Human Rights, in 2013, the Venezuelan government officially withdrew from the Inter-American Court of Human Rights thus depriving HRDs of this recourse.  
- Many HRDs have been publicly accused by State-run media and by high-level officials of conspiracy, treason and attempt to destabilise the country and/or to overthrow the current government, affiliate with criminal organizations, and incite violence. In the cases Front Line Defenders reported, attempts to portray HRDs as criminals and defame the HRDs were made by the President himself, the President of the National Assembly, the Minister of Interior and Justice, the Minister for Information and Communication, the Minister of Prison Services and the Deputy Minister of Environment.  
- Since 2014, the President of the National Assembly hosts a weekly show on national television accusing, defaming, and slandering human rights defenders as ‘anti-patriotic’ and corrupt. In 8 months, 578 individuals have been targets of televised accusations.  
- The fact that stigmatisation campaigns are carried out on State-run media with such broad reach is particularly concerning, as it has had the effect of inciting further threats and attacks against HRDs (such as attacks on the street, to their children at school, robbery).  
- President Nicolas Maduro publicly announced he was unapologetic about his government’s response to opposition protesters while acknowledging that government security forces committed human rights violations in responding to protests. However, to date, only three law enforcement officials have been convicted of ill-treatment.  
- The judicial body has failed to fulfil its role as a safeguard against abuse of state power acting instead as a highly politicized body. Civil society have accused prosecutors and judges of routinely turning a blind eye to evidence suggesting abuse of detainees, of failing to scrutinize evidence that had been planted or fabricated by security forces, and the Attorney General of having routinely ignoring complaints made by defenders and demonstrators.  
- There is a particular concern over the impunity in which crimes against HRDs remain, while the specific component of human rights work is not taken into account when the attacks and threats faced by the defenders are investigated. In a country with such high levels of violence and crime, there is a risk that attacks against defenders are swiftly dismissed as common crime.

6. **Recommendations to the Government of Venezuela**

Develop and enact specific laws and policies to recognise and protect the work of HRDs and which give full force and effect to the international Declaration on Human Rights Defenders at the national level.

Refrain from defaming and stigmatising HRDs and ensure public statements by State officials which recognise their important and legitimate work.

Guarantee the right of safe and unhindered access to international human rights mechanisms and condemn and punish acts of intimidation and reprisals against HRDs who engage with the UN and regional human rights bodies. Combat impunity by ensuring the prompt, thorough and impartial investigation of all violations against HRDs, the prosecution of perpetrators, and access to effective remedies for victims. Ensure that the human rights work is considered as line of investigation for attacks against human rights defenders.

Ensure justice for all victims of excessive use of force during the 2014 protests.

Guarantee that State security forms (officers? Members?) are trained in the safe management of public protests, in line with the recent report by the UN Special Rapporteurs on Extrajudicial Killings and on Freedom of Assembly and Association.

Accept the contentious jurisdiction of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights by announcing a return to the American Convention on Human Rights.

Ensure a safe and enabling legal environment for human rights defence, including by amending or repealing the Law against Organised Crime and Financing Terrorism, the Law for Registering and Enlisting for the Integral Defence of the Nation, the Law for the Defence of the Political Sovereignty and National Self-determination, the National Security Law and Resolution 008610.
In 2015 Venezuela was re-elected to the Human Rights Council and held largely free legislative elections. Human rights defenders and civil society will be vital in ensuring that the future of the country is a democratic one in which rights are protected, and therefore the UPR provides the perfect opportunity for the government to make a public commitment to their protection.
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